

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com







www.materialstoday.com/proceedings

NSES 2018

The Study of Linear Programming Approach for Optimal Scheduling of Work in A Corporation With different Models

Manmohan Patidar^{*}Ramakant Bhardwaj^a, Sanjay Choudhary^b

^{*}Barkatullah University Bhopal(MP) 462023 ^a Technocrats Institute of Technology (TIT Group), Bhopal India (MP) 462023 ^bHead, Department of Mathematics, Govt. N.M.V. Hoshangabad (M.P.), India

Abstract

In the present research paper, two types of models are discussed for linear programming problems. Models are determining the work of the employee in day-to-day life. The first is Staff Scheduling for Bhopal-Dewas Corridor Toll Plaza and second is worker scheduling for Aqua sure Aquagurad Water Purifiers drinking water Company(Rukmani Beverages Pvt. Ltd.).

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Selection and Peer-review under responsibility National Conference on "Smart Materials: Energy and Environment for Smart Cities (NSES-2018).

Keywords: Mathematical optimization, Linear programming, Integer programming, Scheduling, staff scheduling.

1. Introduction

Staff scheduling is a special case of a linear programming problem, which is used to reduce the number of employees, labour costs and expenses incurred in any business or industry. For example, in all the critical small and large enterprises, scheduling of employees in many big toll plazas, many telephone companies, large hospitals, etc. are required. The present work discusses only two types of the problems first for Staff Scheduling for Bhopal-Dewas Corridor Toll Plaza and second for Aqua sure Aquagurad Water Purifiers Drinking Water Company. These models aim to arrange the scheduling of staff and utilize their efficiency. Many others researcher as Rama.S, Srividya S, Deepa Bellatti[1], Lorraine Trilling, Alain Guinet, Dominique Le Magny[2], Mohsen Bayati, ErfanKharazmi, Mehdi Javananbakht, Aboozar Sadeghi, MasudArefnejad, SajadVahedi, FiroozEsmaeilzadeh[3], worked in this field. We [4] also worked in this field.

Email: manmohan80patidar@gmail.com

2214-7853© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Selection and Peer-review under responsibility of National Conference on "Smart Materials: Energy and Environment for Smart Cities (NSES-2018).

2. MODEL 1: Staff Scheduling for Bhopal-Dewas Corridor Toll Plaza

3. 3.1 Problem Definition and solutions: The Bhopal- Dewas Corridor Toll Plaza has Open 24 hours with the Staff Scheduling requests, staff demand for each 24-hour time frame:

Hours	Need Workers (Collectors)
12 a.m. to 6 a.m.	20
6 to 10 a.m.	30
10 to 12 p.m.	24
12 p.m. to 4 p.m.	22
4 to 6 p.m.	16
6 to 10 p.m.	25
10 to 12 a.m.	23
(midnight)	
12 p.m. to 4 p.m. 4 to 6 p.m. 6 to 10 p.m. 10 to 12 a.m. (midnight)	22 16 25 23

Each worker (collector) works four working hours, is of one hour off, and then works another four working hours. A collector can start at each working hour. Considering the purpose is to reduce the number of workers (Toll Plaza collectors) hired how different collectors should begin work every hour.

3.2. Problem Formulation and Solution

Determine the decision variables:

 S_1 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 12 a.m. midnight,

 S_2 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 1 a.m.,

 S_3 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 2 a.m.,

 S_4 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 3 a.m.,

 S_5 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 4 a.m.,

 S_6 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 5 a.m.,

 S_7 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 6 a.m.,

 S_8 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 7 a.m.,

 S_9 = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 8 a.m.,

 S_{10} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 9 a.m.,

 S_{11} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 10 a.m.,

 S_{12} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 11 a.m.,

 S_{13} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 12 p.m.,

 S_{14} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 1 p.m.,

 S_{15} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 2 p.m.,

 S_{16} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 3 p.m.,

 S_{17} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 4 p.m.,

 S_{18} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 5 p.m.,

 S_{19} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 6 p.m.,

 S_{20} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 7 p.m.,

 S_{21} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 8 p.m., S_{22} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 9 p.m., S_{23} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 10 p.m., S_{24} = No. of Toll Plaza collectors to begin work at 11 p.m.

An obstacle will be mandatory for every working hours of the day, which expresses the number of Toll Plaza collectors of that time, what is the required number for that hour. It aims to minimize the number of Toll Plaza collectors chosen for the 24 hours. All formally:



 $\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize} \ &= \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2 + \mathbf{S}_3 + \mathbf{S}_4 + \mathbf{S}_5 + \mathbf{S}_6 + \mathbf{S}_7 + \mathbf{S}_8 + \mathbf{S}_9 + \mathbf{S}_{10} + \mathbf{S}_{11} + \mathbf{S}_{12} + \mathbf{S}_{13} + \mathbf{S}_{14} + \\ & S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24}; \end{aligned}$

Subject to : $S_{1} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} \ge 20;$ $S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$ $S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 20;$

$$S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{24} \ge 30;$$

$$S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{9} \ge 30;$$

$$S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{9} + S_{10} \ge 30;$$

$$S_{3} + S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{8} + S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{11} \ge 24;$$

$$S_{4} + S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} \ge 24;$$

$$S_{5} + S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} \ge 24;$$

$$S_{6} + S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} \ge 22;$$

$$S_{7} + S_{8} + S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} \ge 22;$$

$$S_{8} + S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} \ge 22;$$

$$S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} \ge 22;$$

$$S_{9} + S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} \ge 16;$$

$$S_{10} + S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} \ge 16;$$

$$S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} \ge 16;$$

$$S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} \ge 16;$$

$$S_{11} + S_{12} + S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{13} + S_{14} + S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{20} + S_{21} + S_{22} \ge 25;$$

$$S_{15} + S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} \ge 23;$$

$$S_{16} + S_{17} + S_{18} + S_{19} + S_{21} + S_{22} + S_{23} + S_{24} \ge 23;$$

$$S_{1} \ge 0; S_{2} \ge 0; S_{3} \ge 0; S_{11} \ge 0; S_{12} \ge 0; S_{13} \ge 0; S_{14} \ge 0;$$

$$S_{15} \ge 0; S_{16} \ge 0; S_{17} \ge 0; S_{18} \ge 0; S_{19} \ge 0; S_{20} \ge 0; S_{21} \ge 0;$$

$$S_{15} \ge 0; S_{16} \ge 0; S_{17} \ge 0; S_{18} \ge 0; S_{19} \ge 0; S_{20} \ge 0; S_{21} \ge 0;$$

$$S_{22} \ge 0; S_{23} \ge 0; S_{24} \ge 0;$$

3.3. Programming:

There are two different sets, in which one of them for the 24-hours in the day & then another for the nine-hour shift scheduling.

0;

The index for the S variable: MODEL:! 24-hour Staff scheduling shift; SETS:!Every shift is Four hours on, One hour off, Four hours on; HOUR/1...24/: S, NEED; END SET DATA: NEED=20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 20, 30, 30, 30, 30, 24, 24, 22, 22, 22, 16, 16, 25, 25, 25, 25, 23, 23; END DATE MIN = @SUM (HOUR (I): S (I));

@FOR (HOUR (I):! Representation number of Persons on duty in the hour I am those who started nine or sometimes earlier, but not five;
@SUM(HOUR(J)|(J#LE#9)#AND#(J#NE#5):S(@WRAP((I - J + 1), 24))) > NEED(I));

END

Result and discussion model 1:

When applying Linear programming problem, then we are getting an objective value Such that = 72, among the following nonzero variables:

 $\begin{array}{l} S_2=5.5, S_5=3, S_8=3, \ S_{15}=7.75, \ S_{18}=4, \ S_{22}=0.50, S_3=5.25, \ S_6=3, \qquad S_{11}=4.75, \\ S_{16}=3.50, \ S19=6.25, \ S_{23}=3.25, S_4=5, \ S_7=8.25, \ S_{14}=3, S_{17}=3.50, \ S_{20}=0.50, \ S_{24}=2. \end{array}$

The appropriate solution is not useful directly because some of the quantities are partial. To authorize the integral borders, after using the @GIN function (the general integer variable domain function, @GIN). (@FOR (HOUR (I):@GIN(X(I)));

When applying the @GIN function, then we are getting an objective value Such that = 72, among the following nonzero variables:

 $S_2 = 6$, $S_5 = 6$, $S_8 = 1$, $S_{14} = 3$, $S_{17} = 5$, $S_{20} = 3$, $S_3 = 5$, $S_6 = 6$, $S_9 = 5$, $S_{15} = 3$, $S_{18} = 1$, $S_{23} = 5$, $S_4 = 1$, $S_7 = 6$, $S_{13} = 4$, $S_{16} = 6$, $S_{19} = 6$.

4. MODEL 2: Aqua sure Aquagurad Water Purifiers Drinking Water Company (Rukmani Beverages Pvt. Ltd.):

MIN
$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} X_{ij}$$
,

 X_{ij} = Number of Worker on Category i and Working on Shift type j.

i = 1 to 3 and j = 1 to 4.

4.1 Problem Definition and solutions:

In our study in an Aqua soft Drinking water Company, there are three categories of worker are required in an Aqua soft Drinking water Company first Making bottles, other Filling water in bottles, third Packing and working time in an hour divided into four different Shifts. Minimize the number of Workers required to hire for the Company.

- 1. First shift 8:00 am to 2:00 pm
- 2. Second shift 2:00 pm to 8:00 pm
- 3. Third shift 8:00 pm to 2:00 am
- 4. Fourth shift 2:00 am to 8:00 am.

Categories shift	X ₁ Making bottles	X_2 Filling water in	X_3 Packing		
	8	bottles	1 winning		
First shift	10	8	10		
Second shift	12	10	14		
Third shift	8	9	12		
Fourth shift	10	8	13		

Each Worker has to Work in two consecutive shifts per day. Details of Worker demand in a Company as follows:

Solving the shifting problem table possibility Shift of Workers:

	I	П	X_1	IV	I	П		IV	Т	п		IV
F : 110	*	*	111	1 V	1 *	*	111	1 V	1 *	*	m	1 V
First shift	÷	Ŷ	-	-	Ŷ	ጥ	-	-	÷	Ŷ	-	-
Second shift	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*
Third shift	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-
Fourth shift	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	*

Objective function:

MIN
$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} X_{ij}$$
,

 X_{ij} = Number of Worker on Category i and Working on Shift type j.

i = 1 to 3 and j = 1 to 4. Constraints: First Making bottles:

 $X_{11} + X_{12} \ge 10,$ $X_{11} + X_{14} \ge 12,$ $X_{12} + X_{13} \ge 8,$ $X_{13} + X_{14} \ge 10,$ Second Filling water in bottles: $X_{21} + X_{22} \ge 8,$ $X_{21} + X_{24} \ge 10,$

$$X_{22} + X_{23} \ge 9,$$

$$X_{23} + X_{24} \ge I\theta,$$

Third Packing:

$$X_{31} + X_{32} \ge 10,$$

$$X_{31} + X_{34} \ge 14,$$

$$X_{32} + X_{33} \ge 12,$$

$$X_{33} + X_{34} \ge 13,$$

$$\begin{aligned} X_{11} &\geq 0, X_{12} \geq 0, X_{13} \geq 0, X_{14} \geq 0, X_{21} \geq 0, X_{22} \geq 0, X_{23} \geq 0, X_{24} \geq 0, \\ X_{31} \geq 0, X_{32} \geq 0, X_{33} \geq 0, X_{34} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Result and discussion model 2:

Solved the above model by using LINGO 18.0 software and the results obtained as given below:

Objective Value: 65

Solution Table:

Categories Shift	X_{I}	X_2	X_{3}
Shift type one	2	10	1
Shift type two	8	1	12
Shift type three	0	8	0
Shift type four	10	0	13

References

- Rama.S, Srividya S, Deepa Bellatti. "A Linear Programming Approach for Optimal Scheduling of Workers in a Transport Corporation." International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT), Vol.45, No.10 (2017) PP.482-487.
- [2]. Lorraine Trilling, Alain Guinet, Dominique Le Magny. "Nurse scheduling using integer linear programming and constraint programming." Elsevier, 3(2006) PP.651-656.
- [3]. Mohsen Bayati, ErfanKharazmi, Mehdi Javananbakht, Aboozar Sadeghi, MasudArefnejad, SajadVahedi, FiroozEsmaeilzadeh" Optimization of the number of nurses in the emergency department using linear programming technique."Journal of Health Management &Informatics (JHMI), Vol.1, No.2 (2014) PP.41-45.
- [4]. Choudhary, Sanjay, Patidar, Manmohan. "Use of L.P.M. in Training of Human Resources." International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Vol. 6, No.12 (2016) PP.286-291.