# Balanced bipancyclicity of hypercubes \*

Chang-Hsiung Tsai, Jing-Kai Liao Institute of Learning Technology National Hualien University of Education, Hualien, Taiwan 970, R.O.C.

> Hong-Chun Hsu Department of Medical Informatics Tzu Chi University, Hualien, Taiwan 970, ROC

> > Pao-Lien Lai

Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering National Dong Hwa University, Shoufeng, Hualien, Taiwan 97401, R.O.C. chtsai@mail.nhlue.edu.tw

### Abstract

Let G be a bipartite graph. For any two vertices u and v in G, a cycle C is called a balanced cycle between u and v if  $d_C(u, v) = max\{d_C(x, y) \mid x and u$  are in the same partite set, and y and v are in the same partite set  $\}$ . A bipartite graph G is bipancyclic [3] if it contains a cycle of every even length from 4 to |V(G)| inclusive. A bipartite graph G is balanced bipancyclic if for each pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , it contains a balanced cycle of every even length of k satisfying  $max\{2d_G(u, v), 4\} \leq k \leq |V(G)|$  between u and v. In this paper, we show that  $Q_n$  is balanced bipancyclic.

*Keywords:* hypercube, interconnection networks, edge-bipancyclic, balanced bipancyclic.

#### 1 Introduction

An interconnection network topology is usually represented by a graph where vertices represent processors and edges represent links between processors. There are various kinds of graphs applied to design interconnection networks. Our fundamental graph terminologies refer to [1]. A graph G = (V, E) is bipartite if the node set  $V(G) = B \cup W$  is the union of two disjoints node sets B and W (also called the *partite sets*), such

that every edge joins B and W. Two vertices, u and v, have the same color if and only if uand v are in the same partite set. We also use  $G = (B \cup W, E)$  to denote a bipartite graph. Two vertices a and b are adjacent if  $(a, b) \in E$ . A path is a sequence of adjacent vertices, written as  $\langle v_0, P[v_0, v_m], v_m \rangle = \langle v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m \rangle$ , in which all the vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m$  are distinct except possibly  $v_0 = v_m$ . The path  $\langle v_0, P[v_0, v_m], v_m \rangle$ could be simply replaced with P[u, v] and P. The two vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_m$  are called the *end-vertices* of  $P[v_0, v_m]$ . The *length* of a path P denoted by l(P) is the number of edges in P. Two paths are vertex-disjoint (also called disjoint) if and only if they do not have any vertices in common. Two edges (u, v) and (w, z) are disjoint if  $u \notin \{w, z\}$ and  $v \notin \{w, z\}$ . Let u and v be two vertices of G. The distance between u and v denoted by  $d_G(u, v)$ is the length of a shortest path of G joining u and v.

A cycle C is a special path with at least three vertices such that the first vertex is the same as the last one. A cycle C is called k-cycle if l(C) = k. A path (respectively, cycle) which traverses each vertex of G exactly once is a hamiltonian path (respectively, hamiltonian cycle). To route a packet from u to v in a k-cycle, one may first breaks the packet into two smaller pieces. Then, route the two pieces along two internal vertex-disjoint paths to the two intermediate vertices  $v_1, v_2$ . In the second phase, symmetrically, the two pieces are routed from the intermediate vertices  $v_1, v_2$  to their common destination v. The packet is combined in v until all

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under Contract NSC 95-2221-E-026-002.

pieces of this packet arrived. Therefore, this kind of transmission delay between u and v in a cycle is determined by the longest path between u and vin this cycle. It is of interest to find a cycle passing through u and v such that lengths of two disjoint paths between u and v in this cycle are as equal as possible.

**Definition 1** Let G be a graph. For any two vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ , a cycle C is called a balanced cycle between u and v if  $d_C(u, v) = max\{d_C(x, y) \mid x, y \in V(C)\}$ .

Consequently, if C is a balanced k-cycle between u and v,  $d_C(u, v) = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ . In a bipartite graph, there are only even cycles and vertex set is divided into two partite sets. Hence we modify definition 1 for bipartite graphs.

**Definition 2** Let  $G = (B \cup W, E)$  be a bipartite graph. For any two vertices u and v in G, a cycle C is called a balanced cycle between u and v if  $d_C(u, v) = max\{d_C(x, y) \mid x \text{ and } u \text{ are in the same} partite set, and <math>y$  and v are in the same partite set. }.



Figure 1: (a) A balanced 6-cycle between u and v that are in different partite sets. (b) A balanced 8-cycle between u and v that are in different partite sets. (c) A balanced 8-cycle between u and v that are in the same partite set. (d) A balanced 6-cycle between u and v that are in the same partite set.

A bipartite graph is vertex-bipancyclic [3] if every vertex lies on a cycle of every even length from 4 to |V(G)| inclusive. Similarly, a bipartite graph is *edge-bipancyclic* if every edge lies on a cycle of every even length from 4 to |V(G)| inclusive. Obviously, every edge-bipancyclic graph is vertex-bipancyclic. A bipartite graph G is balanced bipancyclic if for each pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$ ,

it contains a balanced cycle of every even length of k satisfying  $max\{2d_G(u,v),4\} \leq k \leq |V(G)|$  between u and v.



Figure 2:  $Q_n$  for n = 2, 3.

Let  $u = u_{n-1}u_{n-2}\dots u_1u_0$  be an *n*-bit binary strings. The Hamming weight of u, denoted by w(u), is the number of  $u_i$  such that  $u_i = 1$ . Let  $u = u_{n-1}u_{n-2}\dots u_1u_0$  and  $v = v_{n-1}v_{n-2}\dots v_1v_0$ be two distinct n-bit binary strings. The Hamming distance h(u, v) between two vertices u and v is the number of different bits in the corresponding strings of both vertices. The *n*-dimensional hypercube, denoted by  $Q_n$ , consists of all *n*-bit binary strings as its vertices and two vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if h(u, v) = 1. Thus,  $Q_n$  is a bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{u \mid w(u)\}$ is odd} and  $\{u \mid w(u) \text{ is even}\}$ . Figure 2 shows  $Q_n$  for n = 2 and n = 3. It is observed that h(u, v) is odd if and only if u and v are in different partite sets. For  $0 \leq k < n$ , we use  $u^k$  to denote the binary string  $v_{n-1}v_{n-2}\ldots v_1v_0$  such that  $v_k = 1 - u_k$  and  $u_i = v_i$  if  $i \neq k$ . An edge (u, v)in  $E(Q_n)$  is of dimension *i* if  $u = v^i$ . It is known that  $d_{Q_n}(u,v) = h(u,v)$ . The following lemmas are useful in our later proofs.

**Lemma 1** [2] Let u and v be two arbitrary distinct vertices with the same partite set in  $Q_n$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Then, for any vertex w such that h(w, u)is odd, there exists a path joining u and v passing all vertices of  $Q_n$  except w.

**Lemma 2** [2] Let u and v be two arbitrary distinct vertices in  $Q_n$  and h(u, v) = d, where  $n \ge 2$ . There are paths formed by  $\langle u, P[u, v], v \rangle$  in the  $Q_n$ with lengths  $d, d + 2, d + 4, \ldots, c$ , where  $c = 2^n - 1$ if d is odd, and  $c = 2^n - 2$  if d is even.

### **2** $Q_n$ is balanced bipancyclic

In this section, for any two vertices u and v in  $Q_n$ , we will discuss the cycle passing u and v with

some special properties. Let h(u, v) = d. We have that if u and v are in the same partite set then dis even, otherwise d is odd. The balanced 2*l*-cycle C with  $l \ge 2$  between u and v must satisfy one of the following conditions:

(1) d is odd, l is odd, and  $d_C(u, v) = l$ .

- (2) d is odd, l is even, and  $d_C(u, v) = l 1$ .
- (3) d is even, l is even, and  $d_C(u, v) = l$ .
- (4) d is even, l is odd, and  $d_C(u, v) = l 1$ .

 $Q_n$  is balanced bipancyclic if for each pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(Q_n)$ , it contains a balanced cycle of every even length of 2l satisfying  $max\{h(u, v), 2\} \leq l \leq 2^{n-1}$  between u and v. The following lemma is useful in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 3** For  $n \ge 2$ , let (u, v) and (w, z) be two disjoint edges in  $Q_n$ . Then,  $Q_n$  can be partitioned into two (n-1)-cubes such that one contains (u, v) and the other contains (w, z).

**Proof.** The lemma is true when n = 2. Let (u, v) and (w, z) be two disjoint edges, and  $v = u^i$  and  $z = w^k$ . Hence u, v, w, and z are four distinct vertices. Without loss of generality, we may assume that u = 00...0 and  $w = w_{n-1}w_{n-2}\ldots w_{k+1}0w_{k-1}\ldots w_0$ . Since  $n \ge 3$  as well as u, v, and w are distinct, there exists  $j \ne i$  and  $j \ne k$  such that  $w_j = 1$ . One may partition  $Q_n$  along dimension j into two (n-1)-cubes,  $Q_{n-1}^0$  and  $Q_{n-1}^1$ , such that  $Q_{n-1}^0$  contains u and v as well as  $Q_{n-1}^1$  contains w and z.

**Lemma 4** For any two disjoint edges (u, v) and (w, z) in  $Q_n$  with  $n \ge 2$ , there exist two disjoint paths  $P_1[u, v]$  and  $P_2[w, z]$ , in  $Q_n$  where  $l(P_1) = 1$ , 3, 5, 7,...,  $2^{n-1} - 1$  and  $P_2 = 1$ , 3, 5, 7,...,  $2^{n-1} - 1$ .

**Proof.** Let (u, v) and (w, z) be two disjoint edges in  $Q_n$ . By Lemma 3,  $Q_n$  can be partitioned along dimension j into two (n - 1)-cubes,  $Q_{n-1}^0$  and  $Q_{n-1}^1$ , such that  $Q_{n-1}^0$  contains (u, v) and  $Q_{n-1}^1$  contains (w, z) for some  $0 \le j \le n - 1$ . By Lemma 2, there exist paths joining u and v (respectively, w and z) of lengths 1, 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 1$  in  $Q_{n-1}^0$  (respectively,  $Q_{n-1}^1$ ).

## **Theorem 1** $Q_n$ is balanced bipancyclic if $n \ge 2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u = u_{n-1}u_{n-2} \dots u_1u_0$  and  $v = v_{n-1}v_{n-2} \dots v_1v_0$  be any two distinct vertices of  $Q_n$  and h(u, v) = d. To prove the theorem, we will find every balanced 2l-cycle between u and v where  $max\{d, 2\} \le l \le 2^{n-1}$ . The proof is divided into two parts: d = 1 and  $d \ge 2$ .



Figure 3: (a) Let  $l(P_1) = l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 2)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ . (b) Let  $l(P_1) = k + 2$  and  $l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 3$ .

**Case 1:** d = 1, i.e. u and v are adjacent. (See Figure 3.)

Without loss of generality, we may assume that (u, v) is an edge of dimension 0. We may partition  $Q_n$  along dimension 1 into two (n - 1)-subcubes such that  $Q_{n-1}^0$  denotes the subgraph of  $Q_n$  induced by  $\{x \in V(Q_n) \mid x_1 = 0\}$  and  $Q_{n-1}^1$  denotes the subgraph of  $Q_n$  induced by  $\{x \in V(Q_n) \mid x_1 = 1\}$ . Therefore, u and v are in the same subcube  $Q_{n-1}^0$  or  $Q_{n-1}^1$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that u and v are in  $Q_{n-1}^0$ .

Let  $(u, u^1)$  and  $(v, v^1)$  be two edges of dimension 1. Hence  $h(u^1, v^1) = 1$  and  $u^1, v^1 \in V(Q_{n-1}^1)$ . Applying Lemma 2, there are paths formed by  $\langle u, P_1[u, v], v \rangle$  in the  $Q_{n-1}^0$  with length  $k_1 = 1$ , 3, 5, 7, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 1$  and there are paths formed by  $\langle v^1, P_2[v^1, u^1], u^1 \rangle$  in the  $Q_{n-1}^1$  whose lengths are  $k_2 = 1, 3, 5, 7, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ . We can construct a cycle as  $C = \langle u, P_1[u, v], v, v^1, P_2[v^1, u^1], u^1, u \rangle$  of length  $l(C) = k_1 + k_2 + 2$  where  $k_1 = l(P_1)$  and  $k_2 = l(P_2)$ . Obviously, the cycle C passes through u and v.

(a). balanced (2k + 2)-cycle between u and vwhere  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ . Let  $k_1 = k$  and  $k_2 = k$ . Then, l(C) = 2k+2 where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ . Hence  $d_C(u, v) = k = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ . Since dis odd,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is even, and  $d_C(u, v) = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k + 2)-cycle between u and v where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ .

(b). balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and v where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 3$ . Let  $k_1 = k + 2$  and  $k_2 = k$ . Then, l(C) = 2k + 4 where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 3$ . Hence  $d_C(u, v) = k + 2 = \frac{l(C)}{2}$ .

Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is odd, and  $d_C(u, v) = \frac{l(C)}{2}$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and v where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 3$ .

**Case 2:**  $d \ge 2$ , i.e. u and v are not adjacent.

We prove this case by induction on n. Obviously, the proof of case 2 holds for n = 2. Assume that the proof of case 2 is true for every integer  $2 \leq m < n$ . Let  $u = u_{n-1}u_{n-2}\dots u_1u_0$ and  $v = v_{n-1}v_{n-2}\dots v_1v_0$  be any two distinct vertices of  $Q_n$  and h(u, v) = d. Partitioning  $Q_n$ along dimension 0,  $Q_n$  can be divided into two (n-1)-subcubes where  $Q_{n-1}^0$  denotes the subgraph of  $Q_n$  induced by  $\{x \in V(Q_n) \mid x_0 = 0\}$ and  $Q_{n-1}^1$  denotes the subgraph of  $Q_n$  induced by  $\{x \in V(Q_n) \mid x_0 = 1\}.$ 

Subcase 2-1:  $u, v \in Q_{n-1}^0$  or  $u, v \in Q_{n-1}^1$ . (See Figure 4 and Figure 5.)

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u, v \in Q_{n-1}^0$ . For the basis of this proof, we consider  $Q_3$ . It is clear that  $Q_3$  is balanced bipancyclic (See Figure 4 for an illustration).



Figure 5: Let  $l(S_1) = l(S_2) = k$  where k = $1, 3, 5, ..., 2^{n-1}$ . Then, a balanced (m + 2k + 2k)2)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $\langle u, x, P_1[x, v], v, y, P_2[y, u], u \rangle$  is balanced *m*-cycle between u and v of  $Q_{n-1}^0$  where  $m \ge 6$ .

Suppose that  $n \ge 4$ . By induction hypothesis,  $Q_{n-1}^0$  is balanced bipancyclic. Every balanced 2*l*cycle between u and v in  $Q_n$  can be found in  $Q_{n-1}^0$ where  $d \leq l \leq 2^{n-2}$ . Let C be a balanced m-cycle with  $m \ge 6$  between u and v in  $Q_{n-1}^0$ . Hence we rewrite the cycle C as  $\langle u, x, P_1[x, v], v, y, P_2[y, u],$ u. Let  $(u, u^0)$ ,  $(x, x^0)$ ,  $(v, v^0)$ , and  $(y, y^0)$  be four edges of dimension 0. It is observed that  $u^0$ ,  $x^0$ ,  $v^0$ , and  $y^0$  are four distinct vertices in  $Q_{n-1}^1$ , and that  $(u^0, x^0)$  and  $(v^0, y^0)$  are two disjoint edges in  $Q_{n-1}^1$ . Applying Lemma 4, there exist two disjoint paths  $S_1[u^0, x^0]$  and  $S_2[v^0, y^0]$  in  $Q_{n-1}^1$  such that  $l(S_1) = l(S_2) = k$  where  $k = 1, 3, 5, 7, \dots, 2^{n-2}$  –

1. Therefore, we may construct a cycle  $C' = \langle u, v \rangle$  $u^0, S_1[u^0, x^0], x^0, x, P_1[x, v], v, v^0, S_2[v^0, y^0], y^0,$  $y, P_2[y, u], u$  passing through u and v. Hence l(C') = m + 2k + 2.

Subcase 2-1-1: balanced  $(2^{n-1}+2k)$ -cycle between *u* and *v* where  $k = 1, 3, 5, ..., 2^{n-2} - 1$ . Let  $m = 2^{n-1} - 2$ . Therefore,  $l(C') = 2^{n-1} + 2k$ .

(a). Suppose that d is odd. Since C is a balanced  $(2^{n-1}-2)$ -cycle between u and v, and  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = 2^{n-2} - 1$  is odd,  $d_C(u, v) = 2^{n-2} - 1$ . It is clearly that  $d_{C'}(u, v) = d_C(u, v) + k + 1 = 2^{n-2} + k$ and  $\frac{l(C')}{2} = 2^{n-2} + k$ . Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C')}{2}$  is odd, and  $d_{C'}(u, v) = 2^{n-2} + k = \frac{l(C')}{2}$ , the cycle C' is balanced  $(2^{n-1} + 2k)$ -cycle between u and v in  $Q_n$ where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1$ .

(b). Suppose that d is even. Since C is a balanced  $(2^{n-1}-2)$ -cycle between u and v, and  $\frac{l(C)}{2} =$ and  $\frac{d(2^{n-2})}{2} = 2^{n-2} + k$ . Since *d* is even,  $\frac{l(C')}{2}$  is odd, and  $d_{C'}(u,v) = 2^{n-2} + k - 1 = \frac{l(C')}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C' is balanced  $(2^{n-1} + 2k)$ -cycle between u and vin  $Q_n$  where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1$ .

**Subcase 2-1-2:** balanced  $(2^{n-1}+2k+2)$ -cycle between u and v where  $k = 1, 3, 5, ..., 2^{n-2} - 1$ . Let  $m = 2^{n-1}$ . Therefore,  $l(C') = 2^{n-1} + 2k + 2$ .

(a). Suppose that d is odd. Since C is a balanced  $2^{n-1}$ -cycle between u and v, and  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = 2^{n-2}$  is even,  $d_C(u, v) = 2^{n-2} - 1$ . It is clearly that  $d_{C'}(u, v) = d_C(u, v) + k + 1 = 2^{n-2} + k$  and  $\frac{l(C')}{2} = 2^{n-2} + k + 1$ . Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C')}{2}$  is even, and  $d_{C'}(u, v) = 2^{n-2} + k = \frac{l(C')}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C'is balanced  $(2^{n-1} + 2k + 2)$ -cycle between u and vin  $Q_n$  where  $k = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^{n-2} - 1$ .

(b). Suppose that d is even. Since C is a balanced  $2^{n-1}$ -cycle between u and v, and  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = 2^{n-2}$  is even,  $d_C(u,v) = 2^{n-2}$ . It is clearly that  $d_{C'}(u,v) = d_C(u,v) + k + 1 = 2^{n-2} + k + 1$  and  $\frac{l(C')}{2} = 2^{n-2} + k + 1$ . Since d is even,  $\frac{l(C')}{2}$  is even, and  $d_{C'}(u,v) = 2^{n-2} + k + 1 = \frac{l(C')}{2}$ , the cycle C' is balanced  $(2^{n-1} + 2k + 2)$ -cycle between u and vin  $Q_n$  where  $k = 1, 3, 5, ..., 2^{n-2} - 1$ . Subcase 2-2:  $u \in Q_{n-1}^0$  and  $v \in Q_{n-1}^1$  (or

 $v \in Q_{n-1}^0$  and  $u \in Q_{n-1}^1$ ).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $u \in Q_{n-1}^0$  and  $v \in Q_{n-1}^1$ . Let  $(u, u^0)$  and  $(v, v^0)$ be two edges of dimension 0. Hence  $u^0 \in V(Q_{n-1}^1)$ and  $v^0 \in V(Q_{n-1}^0)$ , and  $h(u, v^0) = h(v, u^0) = d - d$ 1.

Subcase 2-2-1: d is even, i.e. u and v are in the same partite set. (See Figure 6.) Hence  $u^0$  and v are in different partite sets. Simi-



Figure 4: Three balanced cycles between u = 000 and v = 011 in  $Q_3$ .



Figure 6: h(u, v) = d is even. (a) Let  $l(P_1) = l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 2)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ . (b) Let  $l(P_1) = k + 2$  and  $l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 3$ .

larly,  $v^0$  and u are in different partite sets. By Lemma 2, there exists a path  $P_1[u, v^0]$  (respectively,  $P_2[v, u^0]$ ) connecting u and  $v^0$  (respectively, v and  $u^0$ ) where  $l(P_1) = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$  (respectively,  $l(P_2) = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1$ ). The cycle C can be constructed as  $\langle u, P_1[u, v^0], v^0, v, P_2[v, u^0], u^0, u \rangle$ . Therefore, the cycle C passing through u and v, and  $l(C) = k_1 + k_2 + 2$  where  $k_1 = l(P_1)$  and  $k_2 = l(P_2)$ .

(a). balanced (2k+2)-cycle between u and vwhere k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-1$ . Let  $k_1 = k$  and  $k_2 = k$  where k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-1$ . Therefore, l(C) = 2k+2. One can observe that  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = k+1$  and  $d_C(u,v) = k+1$ . Since d is even,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is even, and  $d_C(u,v) = \frac{l(C)}{2}$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k+2)-cycle between uand v where k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-1$ . (b). balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and vwhere k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 3$ . Let  $k_1 = k + 2$  and  $k_2 = k$  where k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 3$ . Therefore, l(C) = 2k + 4. One can observe that  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = k + 2$  and  $d_C(u, v) = k + 1$ . Since d is even,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is odd, and  $d_C(u, v) = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between uand v where k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 3$ .

**Subcase 2-2-2:** d is odd, i.e. u and v are in different partite sets. (See Figure 7.) Hence  $u^0$  and v are in the same partite set. Similarly,  $v^0$  and u are in the same partite set. By Lemma 2, there exists a paths  $P_1[u, v^0]$  (respectively,  $P_2[v, u^0]$ ) connecting u and  $v^0$  (respectively, v and  $u^0$ ) where  $l(P_1) = d-1, d+1, d+3, 2^{n-1}-2$  (respectively,  $l(P_2) = d-1, d+1, d+3, \ldots, 2^{n-1}-2$ ). The cycle C can be constructed as  $\langle u, P_1[u, v^0], v^0, v, P_2[v, u^0], u^0, u \rangle$ . Therefore, the cycle C passing through u and v, and  $l(C) = k_1 + k_2 + 2$  where  $k_1 = l(P_1)$  and  $k_2 = l(P_2)$ .

(a). balanced (2k+2)-cycle between u and vwhere k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-2$ . Let  $k_1 = k$  and  $k_2 = k$  where k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-2$ . Therefore, l(C) = 2k+2. One can observe that  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = k+1$  and  $d_C(u,v) = k+1$ . Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is odd, and  $d_C(u,v) = \frac{l(C)}{2}$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k+2)-cycle between uand v where k = d-1, d+1, d+3, ...,  $2^{n-1}-2$ .

(b). balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and vwhere k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 4$ . Let  $k_1 = k + 2$  and  $k_2 = k$  where k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 4$ . Therefore, l(C) = 2k + 4. One can observe that  $\frac{l(C)}{2} = k + 2$  and  $d_C(u, v) = k + 1$ . Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is even, and  $d_C(u, v) = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C is balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between uand v where k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, ...,  $2^{n-1} - 4$ .

(c). balanced  $2^n$ -cycle between u and v. Let



Figure 7: h(u, v) = d is odd. (a.1) Let  $l(P_1) = l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 2)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 2$ . (a.2) Let  $l(P_1) = k + 2$  and  $l(P_2) = k$ . Then, a balanced (2k + 4)-cycle between u and v is constructed, where  $k = d - 1, d + 1, d + 3, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 4$ . (b) A balanced hamiltoian cycle between u and v where  $l(P_1) = 2^{n-1} - 1$  and  $l(P_2) = 2^{n-1} - 2$ .

 $w \in V(Q_{n-1}^1) \text{ and } h(w,v) = 1.$  It is observed that  $h(w,u^0)$  is odd. By Lemma 1, there exists a path  $P[v,u^0]$  of length  $2^{n-1} - 2$  joining v and  $u^0$  passing all vertices of  $Q_{n-1}^1$  except w. Let  $(w,w^0)$  be an edge of dimension 0. Hence  $w^0$  is in  $Q_{n-1}^0$ , and  $w^0$  and u are in different partite sets. By Lemma 2, there exists a hamiltonian path  $P_1[u,w^0]$  joining u and  $w^0$  in  $Q_{n-1}^0$ . Therefore, longest cycle C between u and v in  $Q_n$  can be constructed as  $\langle u, P_1[u,w^0], w^0, w, v, P_2[v,u^0], u^0, u \rangle$ . Therefore, the cycle C passing through u and v,  $l(C) = 2^{n-1} - 1 + 1 + 1 + 2^{n-1} - 2 + 1 = 2^n$ , and  $d_C(u,v) = 2^{n-1} - 1 = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ . Since d is odd,  $\frac{l(C)}{2}$  is even, and  $d_C(u,v) = \frac{l(C)}{2} - 1$ , the cycle C is balanced cycle between u and v. The theorem is proved.

#### References

- J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, North-Holland, New York, 1980.
- [2] T. K. Li, C. H. Tsai, Jimmy J. M. Tan, and L. H. Hsu, "Bipanconnectivity and edge-faulttolerant bipancyclicity of hypercubes," *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 87, pp. 107– 110, 2003.
- [3] J. Mitchem and E. Schmeichel, "Pancyclic and bipancyclic graphs-a survey," *Graphs* and applications, pp. 271–278, 1982.

[4] Y. Saad and M. H. Schultz, "Topological Properties of Hypercubes," *IEEE Trans. Computers*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 867-872, July 1988.