

Graham (1982); Galil, Haber and Yung (1989); Goldberg, Goldberg and Paterson (2001); Goldstein and Waterman (1987); Grove (1995); Hochbaum (1997); Holyer (1981); Kannan and Warnow (1994); Kearney, Hayward and Meijer (1997); Lathrop (1994); Lent and Mahmoud (1996); Lipton (1995); Lyngso and Pedersen (2000); Ma, Li and Zhang (2000); Maier and Storer (1977); Pe'er and Shamir (1998); Pierce and Winfree (2002); Storer (1977); Thomassen (1997); Unger and Moulton (1993) and Wareham (1995).

Exercises

- 8.1 Determine whether the following statements are correct or not
- (1) If a problem is NP-complete, then it cannot be solved by any polynomial algorithm in worst cases.
 - (2) If a problem is NP-complete, then we have not found any polynomial algorithm to solve it in worst cases.
 - (3) If a problem is NP-complete, then it is unlikely that a polynomial algorithm can be found in the future to solve it in worst cases.
 - (4) If a problem is NP-complete, then it is unlikely that we can find a polynomial algorithm to solve it in average cases.
 - (5) If we can prove that the lower bound of an NP-complete problem is exponential, then we have proved that $NP \neq P$.
- 8.2 Determine the satisfiability of each of the following sets of clauses.
- (1) $\neg X_1 \vee \neg X_2 \vee X_3$
 X_1
 $X_2 \vee X_3$
 $\neg X_3$
 - (2) $X_1 \vee X_2 \vee X_3$
 $\neg X_1 \vee X_2 \vee X_3$
 $X_1 \vee \neg X_2 \vee X_3$
 $X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \neg X_3$
 $\neg X_1 \vee \neg X_2 \vee X_3$

- 8.3 W
w
- 8.4 C
pr
- 8.5 C
de
- 8.6 C
ret
det
Tra
"Y"
sati
- 8.7 Ma
com
nur
dete
k in
is N

$$\begin{array}{r}
 X_1 \quad \vee \quad \neg X_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg X_3 \\
 \neg X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg X_3 \\
 \neg X_1 \quad \vee \quad \neg X_2 \quad \vee \quad \neg X_3 \\
 (3) \quad \neg X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3 \\
 X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \\
 X_3 \\
 (4) \quad X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3 \\
 X_1 \\
 X_2 \\
 (5) \quad \neg X_1 \quad \vee \quad X_2 \\
 \neg X_2 \quad \vee \quad X_3 \\
 \neg X_3
 \end{array}$$

- 8.3 We all know how to prove that a problem is NP-complete. How can we prove that a problem is not NP-complete?
- 8.4 Complete the proof of the NP-completeness of the exact cover problem as described in this chapter.
- 8.5 Complete the NP-completeness of the sum of subset problem as described in this chapter.
- 8.6 Consider the following problem. Given two input variables a and b , return "YES" if $a > b$ and "NO" if otherwise. Design a non-deterministic polynomial algorithm to solve this problem. Transform it into a Boolean formula such that the algorithm returns "YES" if and only if the transformed Boolean formula is satisfiable.
- 8.7 Maximal clique decision problem: A maximal clique is a maximal complete subgraph of a graph. The size of a maximal clique is the number of vertices in it. The clique decision problem is to determine whether there is a maximal clique at least size k for some k in a graph or not. Show that the maximal clique decision problem is NP-complete by reducing the satisfiability problem to it.

- 8.8 Vertex cover decision problem: A set S of vertices of a graph is a vertex cover of this graph if and only if all edges of the graph are incident to at least one vertex in S . The vertex cover decision problem is to determine whether a graph has a vertex cover having at worst k vertices. Show that the vertex cover decision problem is NP-complete.
- 8.9 Traveling salesperson decision problem: Show that the traveling salesperson decision problem is NP-complete by proving that the Hamiltonian cycle decision problem reduces polynomially to it. The definition of Hamiltonian cycle decision problem can be found in almost any textbook on algorithms.
- 8.10 Independent set decision problem: Given a graph G and an integer k , the independent set decision problem is to determine whether there exists a set S of k vertices such that no two vertices in S are connected by an edge. Show that the independent set problem is NP-complete.
- 8.11 Bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem: Given a graph and a number M , the bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem is to determine whether there exists a Hamiltonian cycle in this graph such that the longest edge of this cycle is less than M . Show that the bottleneck traveling salesperson decision problem is NP-complete.
- 8.12 Show that 3-coloring \propto 4-coloring \propto k -coloring.
- 8.13 Clause-monotone satisfiability problem: A formula is monotone if each clause of it contains either only positive variables or only negative variables. For instance
- $$F = (X_1 \vee X_2) \& (-X_3) \& (-X_2 \vee -X_3)$$
- is a monotone formula. Show that the problem of deciding whether a monotone formula is satisfiable or not is NP-complete.
- 8.14 Read Theorem 15.7 of Papadimitriou and Steiglitz (1982) for the NP-completeness of the 3-dimensional matching problem.

In previous cl
We obviously
solutions may
producing opt
One com
interpreted as
on educated g
will produce c
In this cha
algorithms can
by their non-o
called approxir

9-1 AN AI

Given a graph (
is incident to s
The node cover
For example, {1
is a node cover
The node cc
algorithm, Algo